

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/809,316	DURHAM ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
HO SHIU	2457	

All Participants:

Status of Application: After Non-final

(1) HO SHIU. (3) _____.

(2) Paul Mendonsa Reg. No. 42879. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 August 2009

Time: 12:30 p

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 20, 39, 58

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claims 1, 20, 39, and 58 are independent claims. Claims 1 and 58 as amended by applicant's amendment filed on 05/04/2009 are found to be allowable. In order to put the application into condition for allowance, claims 20 and 39 need to incorporate limitations from claims 1 and 58 into claim 20 and 39 to be in condition for allowance. A proposed amendment has been authorized by the attorney of record, Paul Mendonsa, for an examiner's amendment so the application can be put in condition for allowance. See attached examiner's amendment.